CSC2457 3D & Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Reflectance Fields for Appearance Acquisition

SAI BI*, UC San Diego ZEXIANG XU*, UC San Diego, Adobe Research PRATUL SRINIVASAN and BEN MILDENHALL, UC Berkeley KALYAN SUNKAVALLI, MILOŠ HAŠAN, and YANNICK HOLD-GEOFFROY, Adobe Research DAVID KRIEGMAN and RAVI RAMAMOORTHI, UC San Diego

Feb 23, 2021

Presenter: Zian Wang

Instructor: Animesh Garg



- NeRF is impressive on capturing appearance.
- But is that all we need?



- NeRF is impressive on capturing appearance.
- But is that all we need?
- NeRF captures radiance but not material!





- Games / VR / AR We need materials!
 - Game Contents
 - VR/AR applications such as object insertion





- Why is it challenging?
 - Ill-posed inverse problem.
 - Appearance is correlated to both material, lighting and geometry.
 - Same appearance leads to multiple solutions.





Prior Works

- Material Capturing
 - Light stage settings: accurate but bulky.
 - Portable capturing with cellphone camera and flash.
 - Mesh and Voxel representation cannot handle fine details.



[Schwartz et al., 2013]

Portable



[Nam et al., 2018]



[Bi et al., 2020]

Prior Works

- Material Capturing
 - Light stage settings: accurate but bulky.
 - Portable capturing with cellphone camera and flash.
 - Mesh and Voxel representation cannot handle fine details.
- NeRF
 - Impressive results and can capture fine details.
 - Do not handle material properties.

Extend NeRF to capture materials!

Contributions

- Task
 - The ill-posed problem of jointly capturing material and geometry from multi-view images.
 - Portable content capturing is important for Game / VR / AR.
 - Prior works either do not handle material (NeRF) or cannot capture details (Mesh, Voxel).
- Key Insight
 - Following prior works, using controlled lighting condition to constrain ambiguity.
 - Extend NeRF to predict material properties and optimize with photometric loss.
 - Adapt NeRF's ray marching to render radiance with geometry, lighting and material.
- Result
 - Given cellphone captured videos (under controlled lighting condition),
 - We get relightable high-quality (fine details) implicit function representation of objects.

Background – Reflectance

- Reflectance
 - We see appearance because surfaces reflect light.





Background – Reflectance

- Diffuse (Lambertian)
 - Reflects light uniformly in all directions.
 - E.g. the wall.
- Specular
 - Reflected light depends on viewing direction.
 - E.g. the mirror.



Background – Reflectance

- Bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF)
 - BRDF is a surface material property describing how light reflects

$$f_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{\mathrm{i}},\omega_{\mathrm{o}})$$



Background – Rendering Equation

Rendering equation defines how light scatters in a scene

$$L_{o}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{o},\lambda,t) = L_{e}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{o},\lambda,t) + \int_{\Omega} f_{r}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{i},\omega_{o},\lambda,t) L_{i}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{i},\lambda,t)(\omega_{i}\cdot\mathbf{n}) d\omega_{i}$$

Outgoing light Incoming light Emitted light Hemisphere on point BRDF Irradiance factor Reflected Light



- One step further than NeRF Capturing material
 - Input is multi-view images with collocated camera-light setup.
 - Output is a <u>Neural Reflectance Field</u>.

- One step further than NeRF Capturing material
 - Input is multi-view images with collocated camera-light setup.
 - Output is a Neural Reflectance Field.





- Input image samples
 - Robot arm
 - Galaxy Note 8



• One step further than NeRF - Capturing material

- Input is multi-view images with collocated camera-light setup.
- Output is a Neural Reflectance Field.

An MLP to predict density, normal, reflectance at every 3D location.



- Goal of Neural Reflectance Field
 - Render with novel view and light



• Why collocated camera-light setup?

- Ill-posedness
 - Same appearance leads to multiple solutions.



• Why collocated camera-light setup?

- Known single light source Removes the integral
- Use point light to approximate the cellphone flash

$$\begin{split} L_{\rm o}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{\rm o},\lambda,t) &= \underline{L_{\rm e}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{\rm o},\lambda,t)} + \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} f_{\rm r}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{\rm i},\omega_{\rm o},\lambda,t) L_{\rm i}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{\rm i},\lambda,t)(\omega_{\rm i}\cdot\mathbf{n})\,\mathrm{d}\omega_{\rm i}}_{\mathbf{O}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{Outgoing light} \qquad \qquad & \text{BRDF} \quad \text{Incoming light} \quad \text{Irradiance factor} \\ \underbrace{\underbrace{Irradiance factor}}_{L_{\rm O}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{\rm O})} &= f_{\rm r}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{\rm i},\omega_{\rm O}) L_{\rm i}(\mathbf{x},\omega_{\rm i}) \end{split}$$

- General Idea
 - Jointly optimize material and geometry with re-render loss.



- Neural Reflectance Fields
 - At every 3D location, this MLP predicts
 - Volume density (1-channel)
 - Surface normal (3-channel)
 - Reflectance (4-channel)



- Material / BRDF Parameters
 - The BRDF used in this paper is a simplified *microfacet model*.
 - Use diffuse albedo (3-channel) and roughness (1-channel) to describe reflectance

$$f_{\rm r}(\omega_{\rm i},\omega_{\rm o};A,R,N) = f_{\rm d}(\omega_{\rm i},\omega_{\rm o};A,N) + f_{\rm s}(\omega_{\rm i},\omega_{\rm o};R,N)$$

Diffuse:
$$f_{\rm d}(\omega_{\rm i}, \omega_{\rm o}; A, N) = \frac{A}{\pi}$$

Specular: $f_{\rm s}(\omega_{\rm i}, \omega_{\rm o}; R, N) = \frac{\mathcal{D}(R, h)\mathcal{F}(\omega_{\rm o}, h)\mathcal{G}(R, N, \omega_{\rm i}, \omega_{\rm o})}{4(N \cdot \omega_{\rm i})(N \cdot \omega_{\rm o})}$



• Reflectance-Aware Ray Marching

- At any sampled point on the ray, use material and lighting to render the current location.
- Use "alpha compositing" for sampled points along a ray.



• Reflectance-Aware Ray Marching

- At any sampled point on the ray, use material and lighting to render the current location.
- Use "alpha compositing" for sampled points along a ray.

(1) Consider rendering one sampled location:

$$f_r(\mathbf{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\omega}_o, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}_j), \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}_j)) \quad \tau_l(\mathbf{x}_j) \quad L_l(\mathbf{x}_j)$$

BRDF

ransmittance	Point Light
Visibility)	Intensity



• Reflectance-Aware Ray Marching

- At any sampled point on the ray, use material and lighting to render the current location.
- Use "alpha compositing" for sampled points along a ray.

(2) Composite along a ray:

$$\sum_{j} \tau_{c}(\mathbf{x}_{j}) \left(1 - \exp(-\sigma(\mathbf{x}_{j}) \Delta t_{j})\right) f_{r}(\mathbf{x}_{j}) \tau_{l}(\mathbf{x}_{j}) L_{l}(\mathbf{x}_{j})$$
ansmittance Density of the Radiance of one



Transmittance (Visibility) of the point

 $\tau_{c}(\mathbf{x}_{j}) = \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{J}\sigma(\mathbf{x}_{k})\Delta t_{k}\right)$



Radiance of one sampled point

- Supervision
 - Re-render L2 Loss
 - Regularization on transmittance (either 0 or 1)

$$\sum_{q} \|L_{\text{coarse}}^{q} - \tilde{L}^{q}\|^{2} + \|L_{\text{fine}}^{q} - \tilde{L}^{q}\|^{2} + \beta [\log(\tau_{c}^{q}) + \log(1 - \tau_{c}^{q})]$$





Re-rendering

GT

• Quick recap

$$\sum_{q} \|L_{\text{coarse}}^{q} - \tilde{L}^{q}\|^{2} + \|L_{\text{fine}}^{q} - \tilde{L}^{q}\|^{2} + \beta[\log(\tau_{c}^{q}) + \log(1 - \tau_{c}^{q})]$$

$$\sum_{j} \tau_{c}(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}) \left(1 - \exp(-\sigma(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}) \Delta t_{j})\right) f_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}) \tau_{l}(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}) L_{l}(\boldsymbol{x}_{j})$$







Supervision



Differentiable Rendering

3D Representation

- Efficiency
 - How many queries do we need to render an N_{pixel} = HxW image?

$$N_{\rm pixel}N_{\rm sample}$$
 ?



- Efficiency
 - How many queries do we need to render an N_{pixel} = HxW image?

 $N_{\rm pixel}N_{\rm sample}N_{\rm light}N_{\rm lsample}$



- Speed-Up Inference
 - Precompute a light transmittance volume
 - Query for light transmittance will be interpolated from the pre-computation



- Speed-Up Inference
 - Precompute a light transmittance volume
 - Query for light transmittance will be interpolated from the pre-computation

$$N_{\text{pixel}}N_{\text{sample}} + N_{\text{light}}N_{\text{lpixel}}N_{\text{lsample}}$$



- Efficiency
 - Training time: ~2 days on 4 RTX 2080Ti
 - Inference time: 30 seconds for a 512x512 image.

• Evaluation

- Comparison with prior works on relighting
- Results of re-rendering and relighting
- Generality
 - Results on a human face.
 - Results on a furry object.
- Object Insertion Demo







• Human face



Captured images

Our renderings

- Furry Object
 - With a different BRDF



Captured images

Our renderings

- Object Insertion
 - Voxelize the implicit function (512³) and render with Blender.



Discussion

- Conclusion from results
 - Neural Reflectance Fields enables high-quality relighting and view synthesis.
 - The method enables capturing fine details and improves material capturing.
- Better-to-have results
 - Visualization of re-rendered normal and material properties.

Limitation

- Restricted lighting condition
 - It assumes that cellphone flash is the only light source. This is not convenient to satisfy in real-world.
 - Naive lighting model (point light).
- Rendering speed
 - The run-time efficiency during inference is not applicable in real-world.

Follow-up

- NeRD: Neural Reflectance Decomposition from Image Collections
 - Removes the lighting assumption during capturing
 - Input is multi-view images (Same as NeRF)
 - Output
 - a volumetric MLP encoding material and volume density per-location, and
 - per-image environment illumination.



Follow-up

- NeRD: Neural Reflectance Decomposition from Image Collections
 - Removes the lighting assumption during capturing
 - Input is multi-view images (Same as NeRF)
 - Output
 - a volumetric MLP encoding material and volume density per-location, and
 - per-image environment illumination.
 - How to constrain the additional ambiguity?
 - Introduce a bottleneck network structure for material to constrain its freedom.

Contributions (Recap)

- Task
 - The ill-posed problem of jointly capturing material and geometry from multi-view images.
 - Portable content capturing is important for Game / VR / AR.
 - Prior works either do not handle material (NeRF) or cannot capture details (Mesh, Voxel).
- Key Insight
 - Following prior works, using controlled lighting condition to constrain ambiguity.
 - Extend NeRF to predict material properties and optimize with photometric loss.
 - Adapt NeRF's ray marching to render radiance with geometry, lighting and material.
- Result
 - Given cellphone captured videos (under controlled lighting condition),
 - We get relightable high-quality (fine details) implicit function representation of objects.